TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE EFFECT ON THE ISOTHERMAL CATALYTIC IgnITION OF n-HEXANE/AIR MIXTURES ON PLATINUM

Dumitru OANCEA, a* Valentin MUNTEANU, a Domnina RAZUS b and Maria MITU b

a Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Bucharest, 4-12 Bd. Elisabeta, 030018 Bucharest, Roumania
b “Ilie Murgulescu” Institute of Physical Chemistry, Roumanian Academy, 202 Spl. Independentei, 060021 Bucharest, Roumania

Received November 22, 2010

This paper addresses several issues associated with the isothermal catalytic ignition and combustion of lean to stoichiometric n-hexane/air mixtures on a thin platinum wire. The time evolution of the catalytic reaction rate in isothermal regime has been followed after a step temperature jump applied to the platinum wire. The ignition delays and the limiting mass transfer controlled rates of the catalytic combustion have been measured using the resulted S-shaped curves, for different fuel concentrations, wire temperatures and gas pressures. The lower and upper parts of the reaction rate versus time curve indicate a mechanism of acceleration associated with the surface active centers multiplication, and the mass transfer limitations, respectively. The activation energies and reaction orders for an overall single-step reaction model have been evaluated using a 3D nonlinear regression analysis under both kinetic and mass transfer control and discussed in connection with the prediction of two previously reported simplified models.

INTRODUCTION

The relatively new technique referring to the heterogeneous catalytic combustion of alkane/air mixtures has known a growing interest during the past few years both for a cleaner combustion of lower alkanes, with lower NOx emissions, and for the removal of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) resulted from various industrial processes. Among all VOCs, hexanes are significant constituents of gasoline and are involved also in numerous processes like oil extraction, degreasing operations, textile manufacturing. The presence of n-hexane in different emissions and the possibility to remove it through catalytic combustion has been discussed in several recent papers.1-8 Besides the practical aspects, the structural effects of both catalyst and fuel on the catalytic combustion mechanism proved to be of real interest. The kinetics of the catalytic combustion is of special importance in the analysis of this complex process. For simplier fuels like H2, CO and CH4, a detailed kinetic analysis has been possible, with major consequences for a better understanding of the main controlling factors. For higher alkanes the detailed kinetics is still unavailable and consequently only simplified kinetics can account for the observed time evolution of the overall process, the single-step model being often the only practical solution. The kinetic models for the catalytic combustion must explain both the transient (ignition and extinction) and steady state processes, taking into account the strong exothermicity of the reaction and branching mechanism acting as positive feedbacks for the reaction rate. In order to simplify the kinetic analysis, the number of independent variables involved in this process can be reduced by using an isothermal regime. To maintain a constant temperature during a fast exothermic reaction is a difficult task which cannot be handled easily in homogeneous systems. Unlike homogeneous systems, the catalytic combustion on an electrically heated platinum wire can be performed in isothermal conditions by adjusting the input power applied to the wire in order to obtain a quasi
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rectangular temperature profile. The technique and its application for the catalytic combustion of various alkanes in air have been described in several previous papers. The experimental procedure allows for the calculation of the variation of the reaction heat flow rate in time, which can be subsequently converted into a reaction rate versus time curve. The resulted S-shaped curve describes the ignition step with the associated ignition delay, followed by the transition to the steady-state combustion limited by the mass transfer from the gas to the catalyst surface.

**RESULTS**

The isothermal reaction rates for the catalytic combustion of lean (1.20, 1.50 and 1.80 %) and stoichiometric (2.16 %) n-hexane/air mixtures at 20, 40, 60, 80 and 101 kPa and temperatures between 446 and 547 K on a platinum wire of 0.1 mm diameter and 45 mm length, in stagnant gaseous mixtures, have been calculated from the primary experimental data. The calculated reaction rates, based on the measurement of the reaction heat, are attributed only to the surface catalytic reactions, neglecting the possible contribution of homogeneous reactions occurring near catalyst surface, which can be significant at higher temperatures. A typical result is given in Fig. 1.

It can be seen that the reaction rate increases continuously, even if the temperature is kept constant. This self-acceleration can be attributed to the multiplication of the surface active intermediates.

During the initial time interval, 0 - \( \tau_{ind} \), representing an induction period, the reaction rate is relatively low and is controlled kinetically. Afterwards, it increases exponentially being subsequently controlled by the mass transfer rate, which in stagnant mixtures is dependent on the both molecular diffusion and the natural convection induced by the temperature gradient between the platinum wire and the surrounding gas. Two useful properties can be measured directly from these curves: the induction periods, \( \tau_{ind} \), and the rates limited by the mass transfer, \( R_{r}^{\ast} \), both of them being dependent on catalyst temperature and gas pressure. The induction periods have been measured at the intersection of the two tangent lines, as indicated in Fig. 1. The long induction periods, measured at lower temperatures, close to the critical ignition temperatures, significantly deviate from the general trend and have been omitted from the subsequent analysis. Several selected results are given in Tables 1 and 2. For brevity not all available measurements are shown.

![Fig. 1 – Variation in time of the catalytic reaction rate after a step temperature jump (298-457 K).](image-url)
Table 1
Selected induction periods, $\tau_{\text{ind}}$ (in s), at different pressures, $p_0$ (in kPa), and temperatures, $T_w$ (in K), for n-hexane/air mixtures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Fuel</th>
<th>1.20</th>
<th>1.50</th>
<th>1.80</th>
<th>2.16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_0$</td>
<td>$T_w$</td>
<td>$\tau_{\text{ind}}$</td>
<td>$T_w$</td>
<td>$\tau_{\text{ind}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The catalytic reaction rate is calculated according to:

$$ r_r = \frac{(dQ_r/\text{dt})}{(\Delta H^0_r - S)} $$

where $dQ_r/\text{dt}$ is the experimentally measured reaction heat flow rate, $\Delta H^0_r = 3886.67 \text{ kJ/mol}$ is the standard heat of combustion for n-hexane at the temperature $T_w$ (approximated here as the standard heat of combustion at the temperature $T_0=298 \text{ K}$), and $S=1.41 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2$ is the catalyst surface.

According to experimental procedure, $dQ_r/\text{dt}$ is given by:

$$ dQ_r/\text{dt} = (R_w/R_{\text{std}})^2 [U_{\text{std}}^2 - (U_{\text{air}}^2)] $$

where $R_w$, $R_{\text{std}}$ are the resistances of the wire and of a standard resistor connected in series with the wire, and $U_{\text{std}}$ is the voltage drop on the standard resistor, either in air or in the combustible mixture. All involved quantities are measured or recorded experimentally.

Table 2
Selected limiting reaction rates, $r^*_R$ (in mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), at different pressures, $p_0$ (in kPa), and temperatures, $T_w$ (in K), for n-hexane/air mixtures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p_0</th>
<th>1.20</th>
<th>1.50</th>
<th>1.80</th>
<th>2.16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_w$</td>
<td>$r^*_R$</td>
<td>$T_w$</td>
<td>$r^*_R$</td>
<td>$T_w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>0.0300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>0.0213</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>101</th>
<th>517</th>
<th>0.0232</th>
<th>464</th>
<th>0.0187</th>
<th>442</th>
<th>0.0157</th>
<th>515</th>
<th>0.0246</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>0.0272</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>0.0265</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>0.0171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>0.0136</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>0.0242</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0.0224</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.0178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>0.0171</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.0223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>0.0244</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>0.0238</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>0.0190</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>0.0178</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>0.0209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Besides the two properties, the induction period and the limiting reaction rate, the shape of the reaction rate versus time curve offers additional information on the component steps of the ignition and steady state combustion if appropriate models are used to fit the experimental data. Thus the initial exponential increase suggests a branching mechanism for the surface intermediates, while the final saturation trend indicates that the overall process occurs under the mass transfer control. Since the detailed kinetics for this system are lacking, two previously reported simplified models are used as alternative approaches and compared with the empirical Arrhenius-type model for a single-step reaction.

**DISCUSSION**

The empirical single-step kinetic equations for mass transfer control and for induction period have the following forms:

\[
r^*_R = \alpha_1 \cdot \left( \frac{p_0}{p_{ind}} \right)^{n_1} \cdot e^{-E_{a,*}/RT}
\]

\[
\tau_{ind} = \alpha_2 \cdot \left( \frac{p_0}{p_{ind}} \right)^{n_2} \cdot e^{-E_{a,ind}/RT}
\]

where \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are constants, \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are the overall reaction orders, \( E_{a,*} \) and \( E_{a,ind} \) are the corresponding activation energies, and \( p_{ind} \) is the reference pressure taken here as 1 kPa. Using data like those given in Tables 1 and 2, these parameters can be evaluated through a 3D regression analysis. An example is given in Fig. 2.

Similar results have been obtained for all investigated systems, as well as for the function \( \tau_{ind} = f_2(p_0, T_w) \), which is inversely proportional to the corresponding reaction rate. The results are given in Table 3.

The overall reaction orders for both mass transfer control \( (r^*_R=f_1(p_0, T_w)) \) and kinetic control \( (\tau_{ind}=f_2(p_0, T_w)) \) vary non-significantly around an average value of 0.24, showing that the overall reaction rate increases with the increasing pressure. Since they are the sum of the partial reaction orders with respect to fuel and oxygen, the result is in agreement with the findings reported by previous studies on the catalytic combustion of alkane/air mixtures, which indicate a fuel reaction order \( n_F \approx 1 \) and negative reaction orders for oxygen, due to the inhibiting effect resulted from its excess adsorption on platinum surface.
Ignition of \(n\)-hexane/air mixtures on platinum

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 2} & \quad \text{A 3D nonlinear regression analysis } R^*_R = \text{f}_1(p_0, T_w). \\
\text{Table 3} & \quad \text{Estimated parameters and coefficients of determination } r^2 \text{ from the nonlinear regression analysis of equations (3) and (4)}
\end{align*}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Fuel</th>
<th>1.20</th>
<th>1.50</th>
<th>1.80</th>
<th>2.16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n_1)</td>
<td>0.255±0.016</td>
<td>0.254±0.008</td>
<td>0.171±0.009</td>
<td>0.192±0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_{a,*}) (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>13.10±0.36</td>
<td>12.00±0.27</td>
<td>11.44±0.21</td>
<td>11.58±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a^*_1)</td>
<td>0.150±0.016</td>
<td>0.127±0.009</td>
<td>0.160±0.009</td>
<td>0.154±0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r^2)</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_2)</td>
<td>0.259±0.044</td>
<td>0.280±0.058</td>
<td>0.250±0.071</td>
<td>0.277±0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_{a,ind}) (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>89.3±2.8</td>
<td>89.2±6.4</td>
<td>111.4±10.2</td>
<td>121.2±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a^*_2)</td>
<td>(2.39±1.43)e-10</td>
<td>(4.78±7.85)e-10</td>
<td>(1.11±3.03)e-12</td>
<td>(1.02±1.43)e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r^2)</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within the limits of experimental errors, the small overall activation energies, \(E_{a,*}\), are independent of fuel concentration and are characteristic for the mass transfer processes occurring across the diffusion layer,\textsuperscript{10} confirming a small temperature sensitivity of the reaction rate. On the other hand, the overall activation energies evaluated from the induction periods are much higher and are characteristic for kinetically controlled processes. They exhibit a significant increase when the fuel concentration increases, a trend difficult to explain within the framework of the single-step model.

The significance of the activation energy for the mass-transfer controlled process can be understood with the reference to a simplified model which takes into account two steps: the diffusion of fuel molecules to the catalyst surface and an overall single-step surface catalytic reaction.\textsuperscript{10} For very short induction periods (characteristic for higher temperatures), the reaction rate follows a saturation-type exponential increase of the form: \textsuperscript{10}

\[
R^* = a_i + b_i \cdot (1 - \exp(-c \cdot t))
\]

where \(a_i\) is a small term associated with the noise of the measurement, \(b_i = k_D \cdot k_r \cdot C_{V,F}^{0} / (k_D + k_r)\), \(c = (k_D + k_r) / \phi\) where \(k_D = D_F / \delta\) is the rate constant of the diffusion process dependent on the diffusion coefficient \(D_F\) of the fuel and on the thickness of the diffusion layer \(\delta\), \(k_r\) is the overall reaction rate constant, \(C_{V,F}^{0}\) is the volume concentration of the fuel and \(\phi\) is the thickness of a monomolecular layer of fuel molecules in contact with the catalyst surface. For longer induction periods the dependence is shifted to the right along the time axis by the magnitude of \(\tau_{ind}\).

For large time values equation (5) reduces to

\[
R^*_R = a_i + k_D \cdot k_r \cdot C_{V,F}^{0} / (k_D + k_r) \quad \text{and for } k_r >> k_D \text{ becomes: } R^*_R = a_i + k_D \cdot C_{V,F}^{0}, \text{ when the overall process is diffusion controlled, with small activation energy. The measured reaction rates can}
\]
be compared with the molar collision frequency of the fuel molecules, $v_F$ calculated as $v_F = p_F / (2 \pi M_F RT_w)^{1/2}$. For the stoichiometric mixture at $T_w = 549$ K and $p_0 = 101$ kPa the result is $v_F = 43.5$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $r_{k}^*/v_F = 6.76 \times 10^{-4}$ suggesting a low collision efficiency. The derived turnover frequency calculated for the atomic surface density of platinum exposed atoms ($F_{P1} = 2.49 \times 10^{-5}$ mol m$^{-2}$) is $TOF = 1180$ s$^{-1}$, lower than for the stoichiometric ethane/air mixture$^{15}$ in the same conditions ($TOF = 3070$ s$^{-1}$).

On the other hand, the initial exponential increase of the reaction rate has been attributed to the multiplication of the surface intermediates, analogous to the branching chain mechanism.$^{16}$ Taking into account the quasi-equilibrated adsorption-desorption of the oxygen, the one-sided dissociative adsorption of the fuel, the reaction of the adsorbed fuel and oxygen leading either to the multiplication of the adsorbed intermediates or to their conversion into the reaction products, the following kinetic equation proved to describe adequately the experimental results:$^{16}$

$$r_k^* = \left[ k_a \cdot k_r \cdot C_{r,F}^0 \cdot \phi (k_m - k_r) \right] \cdot \left( \exp((k_m - k_r) \cdot t) - 1 \right)$$

(6)

where $k_a$, $k_r$, and $k_m$ stand for the rate constants of fuel adsorption, reaction leading to final products and intermediate multiplication, respectively. The Arrhenius-type temperature dependence of these rate constants and their possible variations with fuel concentration can serve as a basis for the evaluation of the overall activation energy variation reported in Table 3.

CONCLUSIONS

The catalytic combustion of lean and stoichiometric $n$-hexane/air mixtures on a thin platinum wire have been studied in isothermal conditions at different pressures between 20 and 101 kPa. The reaction rates of the heterogeneous catalytic reaction have been measured for both transient and steady-state regimes. The kinetically controlled transient regime characterizes the ignition process and allows for the measurement of the induction periods. Using an overall single-step reaction model, the overall reaction orders and activation energies have been evaluated from the pressure and temperature variation of the induction periods. The overall reaction order fluctuates around 0.24 reflecting the inhibitory effect of oxygen resulted from its excess adsorption on platinum. The corresponding activation energies have typical values for kinetically controlled processes. The steady state combustion occurs as a diffusion controlled process.
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