

*Rev. Roum. Chim.*, **2020**, *65*(7-8), 719-724 DOI: 10.33224/rrch.2020.65.7-8.10

Dedicated to Professor Cristian Silvestru on the occasion of his 65th anniversary

# MOLECULAR STRUCTURES OF (2-BROMOPHENYL)DIPHENYLSTIBANE AND {2'-BROMO-[1,1'-BIPHENYL]-2-YL}DIPHENYLSTIBANE

# Marian OLARU, Krisztina T. KEGYES and Ciprian I. RAŢ\*

Supramolecular Organic and Organometallic Chemistry Centre, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca RO-400028, Roumania

Received October 18, 2019

The synthesis of  $2\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SbPh}_2$  (1) and the serendipitous formation  $2\text{-}(2^{-1}\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4)\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{SbPh}_2$  (2) are reported. Compounds 1 and 2 were characterized in solution by NMR spectroscopy and their identity was confirmed by high-resolution mass spectrometry. The molecular structures of both compounds were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.



## **INTRODUCTION**

The synthesis characterization and of organoantimony(III) compounds of type 2- $(Ph_2E)C_6H_4SbPh_2$  (E = P,<sup>1</sup> As,<sup>1</sup> Sb<sup>2</sup>) was mainly promoted by their prospective use as soft bidentate chelating ligands in the coordination chemistry of late transition metals.<sup>1,3-5</sup> The mass spectra and elemental analysis data for the related bismuthane 2-(Ph<sub>2</sub>Sb)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BiPh<sub>2</sub> were also reported.<sup>6</sup> In the last decade, compounds with Lewis acidic functional groups containing antimony were shown to have applications in anion<sup>7,8</sup> or molecular sensing,<sup>9</sup> and catalysis.<sup>10,11</sup>

We report an alternative method with fewer steps and better yield for the synthesis for (2bromophenyl)diphenylstibane,  $2-BrC_6H_4SbPh_2$  (1) and the serendipitous formation of the {2'-bromo[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yldiphenylstibane, 2-(2'-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SbPh<sub>2</sub> (2). Both compounds were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, by highresolution mass spectrometry and their structures were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The synthesis of compound **1** by the reaction of  $2\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SbCl}_2$  with PhMgBr was reported McAuliffe *et al.*<sup>2</sup> The dichlorostibane used in the reaction involves the synthesis and subsequent reduction of 2-bromophenylstibonic acid in an overall moderate reported yield (20%).<sup>12</sup> Bearing in mind the potential applications of organoantimony(III) compounds reported in the literature (*vide supra*) and aiming to improve the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: ciprian.rat@ubbcluj.ro

synthesis of compound 1, we sought an alternative synthetic protocol starting from the commercially available 1,2-Br<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (Scheme 1). The reaction of 1,2-Br<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> with *n*-BuLi, in a 1:1 mixture of Et<sub>2</sub>O and THF, followed by the reaction of the organolithium derivative obtained in situ with Ph<sub>2</sub>SbCl, afforded 1 in low yield together with small amounts of 2. Formation of 2 is not unexpected as occurrence of 2-(2'-LiC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Br in the reaction of 1.2-Br<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> with *n*-BuLi via aryne intermediates is well documented.<sup>13</sup> Thus considering the high temperature sensitivity of the 2-LiC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Br intermediate, the reaction with i-PrMgCl·LiCl, in which milder conditions are required,<sup>14</sup> was attempted. Using the Grignard intermediate approach, compound 1 was obtained in one step and with higher yield (75%) than in the previously reported methods.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of compounds 1 and 2 exhibit multiplet signals in the aromatic region. The most deshielded hydrogen atoms are those in position *ortho* to the bromine atom (1: 7.61 - 7.54; 2: 7.70 - 7.60 ppm). The most shielded hydrogen atoms are those in position *meta* to the bromine atom and *ortho* to the antimony atom (1: 7.05 - 7.01 ppm) or carbon atom (2: 7.11 - 7.06 ppm).

In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **1** there are 10 resonance signals, whereas in the spectra of **2** there are 19 resonance signals, indicating the non-equivalence of the Ph groups due to the presence of a chirality axis in the molecule. Two resonance signals in <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **2** are overlapped.

In APCI+ high resolution mass spectra of **1** and **2** protonated molecular ions were observed. Fragmentation of  $[M+H]^+$  ions led to the  $[Ph_2Sb-H]^+$  for **1** and  $[M-Ph]^+$ ,  $[M-2Ph-H]^+$  $[Ph_2Sb-H]^+$  for **2**, respectively.

In the molecular structures of **1** (Figure 1) and **2** (Figure 2) the antimony atoms have a trigonal pyramidal geometry. The Sb–C bond lengths of **1** [2.157(6), 2.162(7), and 2.168(6) Å] and **2** [2.123(8), 2.153(8), and 2.160(9) Å] have values in the range of those reported for Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb [2.143(6) –

2.169(10) Å],<sup>15</sup> (*m*-Tol)<sub>3</sub>Sb [2.146(3) – 2.152(3) Å],<sup>16</sup> (*p*-Tol)<sub>3</sub>Sb [2.141(3) Å],<sup>17</sup> {4-(Me<sub>2</sub>N)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>}<sub>3</sub>Sb [2.133(3) – 2.147(3) Å],<sup>18</sup> and slightly smaller than in the sterically crowded stibanes (2,6-Me<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Sb [2.188(5) – 2.192(6) Å],<sup>19</sup> Mes<sub>3</sub>Sb [2.181(9) – 2.185(9) Å],<sup>20</sup> or {2,4,6-(*i*-Pr)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>}<sub>3</sub>Sb [2.184(3) – 2.206(2) Å].<sup>21</sup>

Although the C–Sb–C bonding angles found in the molecular structures of **1** [94.1(3), 95.9(3), and 98.3(2)°] and **2** [96.4(3), 98.2(3), 94.8(3)°] are similar to those reported for Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb [95.1(3) – 98.0(3)°],<sup>15</sup> (*m*-Tol)<sub>3</sub>Sb [96.49(11) – 97.28(11)°],<sup>16</sup> (*p*-Tol)<sub>3</sub>Sb [97.3(1)°],<sup>17</sup> {4-(Me<sub>2</sub>N)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>}<sub>3</sub>Sb [94.52(11) – 97.61(11)°],<sup>18</sup> they are smaller than in (2,6-Me<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Sb [98.7(2) – 109.5(2)°],<sup>19</sup> Mes<sub>3</sub>Sb [103.7(3) – 106.4(4) Å],<sup>20</sup> or {2,4,6-(*i*-Pr)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>}<sub>3</sub>Sb [101.90(10) – 112.11(9)°].<sup>21</sup>

In the molecular structure of 1, the distance between Sb1 and Br1 [3.442(3) Å] is shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii of the respective elements  $[\Sigma r_{vdW}(Br,Sb) = 3.89 \text{ Å})]^{.22}$  Second order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in NBO basis,<sup>23</sup> carried out on the optimized molecular structure, of 1 indicates that electron donation from a bromine lone pair into a Sb-C antibonding orbital amounts 2.43 kcal/mol. If larger contact radii are considered,<sup>24,25</sup> in the crystals of 1, there are also weak intermolecular Br...Sb contacts [3.908(3) Å] which lead to the formation of dimers (see Synopsis). Analysis of the 2D fingerprint plots of  $d_e$  and  $d_i$  functions<sup>26,27</sup> indicate that in the crystals of 1 the most abundant contacts are H···H (49.7%), followed by C···H (28.9%) and Br…H (13.5%).

In the crystals of **2**, intermolecular C–H·· $\pi$  interactions led to formation of chains (Figure 3). Similar intermolecular contacts as in crystals of **1** are observed [H···H (50.9%), C···H (31.3%), Br···H (12.0%)]. However, for **2**, no intra- or intermolecular Sb···Br contacts are established. The most important C–H··· $\pi$  interactions are depicted in Figure 3.



Scheme 1 – Preparation of compound 1 and generation of 2.



Fig. 1 – Thermal ellipsoid representation (25% probability) of the molecular structure of 2-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SbPh<sub>2</sub> (1). Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°): Sb1–C1 2.162(7), Sb1–C7 2.157(6), Sb1–C13 2.168(6), Br1–C2 1.907(7), Sb1···Br1 3.442(3); C1–Sb1–C7 94.1(3), C1–Sb1–C13 95.9(3), C7–Sb1–C13 98.3(2).



Fig. 2 – Thermal ellipsoid representation (25% probability) of the molecular structure of 2-(2'-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SbPh<sub>2</sub> (**2**). Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Sb1–C1 2.153(8), Sb1–C13 2.160(9), Sb1–C19 2.123(8), Br1–C8 1.923(12); C1–Sb1–C13 96.4(3), C1–Sb1–C19 98.2(3), C13–Sb1–C19 94.8(3).

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### **General Considerations**

Unless otherwise indicated, starting materials were used without further purification. The solvents were distilled, under argon atmosphere, from appropriate drying agents (sodium for diethylether, potassium for tetrahydrofuran) prior to use. All the other solvents were distilled using a rotary evaporator prior to use. Ph<sub>2</sub>SbCl was prepared according to the methods described in the literature.<sup>28,29</sup> *i*-PrMgCl·LiCl 1.3 M in THF was purchased and used as received.

For the column and thin layer chromatography was used 0.060–0.200 mm, 60 Å silica gel, and silica gel 60 coated aluminum sheets with F254 indicator, respectively.

The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 400 spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  units (ppm) relative to the residual peak of solvent (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 7.26 ppm) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and to the peak of the deuterated solvent (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 77.16 ppm) in <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra.<sup>30</sup>

HRMS APCI(+) spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Orbitrap XL spectrometer. Data analysis and calculations of the theoretical isotopic patterns were carried out with the Xcalibur software package.<sup>31</sup>

Crystals suitable for crystallographic determinations were obtained by slow evaporation of solvents from solutions in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and MeOH of **1** and **2**, respectively. Crystallographic data for **1** and **2** were collected at 297 K on a Bruker Smart APEX diffractometer, with graphite monocromator, using Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation (0.71073 Å). Crystals were mounted on a loop using Paraton oil. The structures were solved and refined with the SHELX-2018 software package.<sup>32</sup> Compound **2** was refined as an inversion twin. All the non-hydrogen atoms were treated anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in riding positions with the isotropic thermal parameters set 1.2 times the thermal parameters of the carbon atoms directly attached for the aromatic hydrogen atoms. The ring centroids, intra- and intermolecular interactions were evaluated with PLATON software package.<sup>33</sup> The representation of the

molecular structures were carried out using Diamond.<sup>34</sup> Analysis of the intermolecular interactions was performed

with CrystalExplorer.<sup>35</sup> Crystal data and structure refinement for compounds **1** and **2** are listed in Table 1.



Fig. 3 – Intermolecular C–H··· $\pi$  interactions in crystals of **2**. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): C12–H12 2.88, C12–H12···Cg3 143, H12···Cg3 3.669(11); C24–H24 2.87, C24–H24···Cg2 146, H24···Cg2 3.677(10). Symmetry codes: i) 1/2+x, 1/2-y, z; ii) –1/2+x, 1/2-y, z. Cg2 and Cg3 are the centroids of the aromatic rings C7-C12 and C13-C18, respectively.

Table 1

Crysta	nl data	and	structure	refinement	for o	compound	s 1 and 2
C1 , 50	u uuuu	unu	Suactare	remember	. 101 .	compound	

Compound	1	2
CCDC	1960088	1960089
Formula	$C_{18}H_{14}BrSb$	$C_{24}H_{18}BrSb$
Formula weight	431.95	508.04
Crystal system	monoclinic	orthorhombic
Space group	$P2_1/c$	Pna2 <sub>1</sub>
a, b, c [Å]	10.554(9), 8.961(8), 17.403(15)	15.967(3), 9.0474(16), 14.232(3)
α, β, γ [°]	90, 94.458(14), 90	90, 90, 90
$V[Å^3]$	1641(2)	2056.0(7)
Z	4	4
$D(calc) [g \cdot cm^{-3}]$	1.748	1.641
$\mu(Mo K\alpha) [mm^{-1}]$	4.104	3.289
F(000)	832	992
Crystal size [mm]	0.28  imes 0.30  imes 0.31	0.23  imes 0.26  imes 0.28
Temperature (K)	297	297
$\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}$ [°]	2.3, 25.0	2.6, 25.0
Dataset	$\pm 12; \pm 10; \pm 20$	$\pm 18; \pm 10; \pm 16$
No. of measured reflections	11269	18513
No. of independent reflections	2896	3620
R <sub>int</sub>	0.045	0.062
Observed data $[I > 2.0 \sigma(I)]$	2185	2790
No. of reflections	2896	3620
No. of parameters	181	236
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$	0.0521	0.0379
$wR(F^2)$	0.1197	0.0749
S	1.05	0.97
Absolute structure parameter	-	0.02(2)
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \dot{A}^{-3})$	-0.43, 0.94	-0.24, 0.67

Theoretical calculations were performed with Gaussian 09, Revision E.01,<sup>36</sup> using the B3LYP functional,<sup>37</sup> and the def2-TZVP basis set.<sup>38</sup> The dispersion corrections were accounted for using the D3 version of Grimme's dispersion with Becke-Johnson damping.<sup>39</sup>

#### Preparation of 2-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SbPh<sub>2</sub> (1)

Over a solution of 1,2-dibromobenzene (7.55 g, 32.0 mmol) in THF (25 mL) cooled to -60 °C was added dropwise i-PrMgCl·LiCl (25 mL, 32.0 mmol, 1.3 M in THF). The reaction mixture was allowed to reach -20 °C within 3 h, after which Ph<sub>2</sub>SbCl (10.00 g, 32.0 mmol) was added as solid to the Grignard solution. The stirring was continued for additional 30 min at rt then the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. Column chromatography using hexane as mobile phase afforded 1 (10.40 g, 20.5 mmol, 75%) as a colorless oil. Solid 1 was obtained after tituration with MeOH, filtration and solvent removal at reduced pressure. Mp 54 - 56 °C (lit. 59  $^{\circ}C)^{2}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.60 – 7.55 (m, 1H), 7.48 - 7.41 (m, 4H), 7.39 - 7.32 (m, 6H), 7.24 - 7.14 (m, 2H), 7.05 -7.01 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz):  $\delta$  142.77, 138.93, 137.49, 136.43, 132.61, 132.26, 130.69, 129.17, 128.89, 127.81. MS (APCI+, MeCN): m/z 272.97 ([Ph<sub>2</sub>Sb-2H]<sup>+</sup>, 15), 354.89 ([M-Ph]<sup>+</sup>, 20), 430.94 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 100). HRMS (APCI+, MeCN): m/z [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrSb, 430.93898; found, 430.93778.

#### Generation of 2-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SbPh<sub>2</sub> (1) and 2-(2'-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SbPh<sub>2</sub> (2)

1,2-Dibromobenzene (2.241 g, 9.5 mmol) was dissolved, under argon atmosphere, in a mixture of Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and tetrahydrofuran (20 mL). To the obtained solution, cooled to -120 °C, *n*-BuLi (6.3 mL, 10.0 mmol, 1.6 M in hexane) was added dropwise. After 20 min, maintaining the temperature at -120 °C, to the reaction mixture a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>SbCl (2.570 g, 8.3 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) was added dropwise over a time span of 10 min and the reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt overnight. The solvents were removed at reduced pressure and the work up of the reaction was carried out in air. The products were separated by column chromatography using heptane as mobile phase. Content of the collected fractions was assayed by TLC. Solvent removal from the combined fractions afforded dense oils which were titurated with MeOH (8 mL) and pentane (6 mL) to afford 1 (0.762 g, 1.5 mmol, 18%).<sup>40</sup> and **2** (0.554 g, 0.5 mmol, 6%), respectively.

18%),<sup>40</sup> and **2** (0.554 g, 0.5 mmol, 6%), respectively. **2-(2'-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SbPh<sub>2</sub> (2)**. Mp 94 – 96 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.67 – 7.61 (m, 1H), 7.45 – 7.35 (m, 6H), 7.35 – 7.27 (m, 8H), 7.25 – 7.17 (m, 2H), 7.11 – 7.06 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 101 MHz):  $\delta$  148.69, 144.05, 139.93, 139.06, 138.88, 136.45, 136.38, 136.28, 132.74, 131.93, 129.41, 129.33, 128.88, 128.62, 128.56, 128.51, 128.33, 127.05, 124.12. MS (APCI+, MeCN): *m/z* 428.92 ([M–Ph]<sup>+</sup>, 22), 506.97 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 100). HRMS (APCI+, MeCN): *m/z* [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrSb, 506.97028; found, 506.96779.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Crystallographic data for the structure reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1960088 and 1960089. Copies of the information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Tel: (+44) 1223 336 408; Fax: (+44) 1223 336 033; e-mail deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk; www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

## CONCLUSIONS

A faster and more efficient method of synthesis for  $2\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SbPh}_2$  (1) and spectroscopic and structural characterization of the serendipitous  $2\text{-}(2'\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4)\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{SbPh}_2$  (2) are reported.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by a grant of Ministry of Research and Innovation, CNCS -UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P4-ID-PCCF-2016-0088, within PNCDI III. C.I.R. is grateful to Babeş-Bolyai University for Grant-in-Aid GTC34065/2013. We thank Dr. Richard A. Varga for the crystallographic determinations. Theoretical calculations were performed on the highperformance computational facility MADECIP, POSCCE, COD SMIS 48801/1862 co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund of the European Union.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. W. Levason and C. A. McAuliffe, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **1974**, *11*, 33.
- W. Levason, C. A. McAuliffe and S. G. Murray, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 88, 171.
- D. J. Gulliver, W. Levason and K. G. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1981, 2153.
- W. Levason, C. A. McAuliffe and S. G. Murray, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 1976, 12, 849.
- 5. L. R. Gray, D. J. Gulliver, W. Levason and M. Webster, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1983**, *22*, 2362.
- 6. W. Levason, B. Sheikh and F. P. McCullough, J. Coord. Chem., **1982**, *12*, 53.
- 7. C. R. Wade and F. P. Gabbaï, *Organometallics*, **2011**, *30*, 4479.
- 8. C. R. Wade and F. P. Gabbaï, Z. Naturforsch., B: J. Chem. Sci., 2014, 69, 1199.
- 9. D. Tofan and F. P. Gabbaï, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 6768.
- 10. M. Hirai, J. Cho and F. P. Gabbaï, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2016**, *22*, 6537.
- 11. M. Yang, M. Hirai and F. P. Gabbaï, *Dalton Trans.*, **2019**, *48*, 6685.
- 12. B. R. Cook, C. A. McAuliffe and D. W. Meek, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1971**, *10*, 2676.
- 13. F. Leroux and M. Schlosser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 4272.
- 14. A. Krasovskiy and P. Knochel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2004**, *43*, 3333.
- E. A. Adams, J. W. Kolis and W. T. Pennington, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1990, 46, 917.
- V. V. Sharutin, O. K. Sharutina and M. V. Kazakov, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 59, 1115.
- A. N. Sobolev, I. P. Romm, V. K. Belsky and E. N. Guryanova, J. Organomet. Chem., 1979, 179, 153.

- V. V. Sharutin, V. S. Senchurin, O. K. Sharutina and O. V. Chagarova, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **2012**, *57*, 1073.
- A. N. Sobolev, I. P. Romm, V. K. Belsky, O. P. Syutkina and E. N. Guryanova, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 209, 49.
- M. Ateş, H. J. Breunig, K. H. Ebert, R. Kaller, M. Dräger and U. Behrens, Z. Naturforsch., B: J. Chem. Sci., 1992, 47, 503.
- S. Sasaki, K. Sutoh, F. Murakami and M. Yoshifuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 14830.
- M. Mantina, A. C. Chamberlin, R. Valero, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **2009**, *113*, 5806.
- E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, P. Karafiloglou, C. R. Landis and F. Weinhold *NBO*, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin-Madison: 2018.
- 24. A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem., 1964, 68, 441.
- 25. S. Alvarez, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 8617.
- M. A. Spackman and D. Jayatilaka, CrystEngComm, 2009, 11, 19.
- 27. M. A. Spackman and J. J. McKinnon, *CrystEngComm*, **2002**, *4*, 378.
- M. Nunn, D. B. Sowerby and D. M. Wesolek, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 251, c45.
- B. A. Chalmers, M. Bühl, K. S. Athukorala Arachchige, A. M. Z. Slawin and P. Kilian, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, **2015**, *21*, 7520.
- G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw and K. I. Goldberg, *Organometallics*, 2010, 29, 2176.
- 31. *Qual Browser Thermo Xcalibur*, 2.1.0 SP1.1160; Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc.: 2011.
- 32. G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3.

- A. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 2009, 65, 148.
- 34. K. Brandenburg Diamond, 4.6; Crystal Impact GbR: 2019.
- S. K. Wolff, D. J. Grimwood, J. J. McKinnon, M. J. Turner, D. Jayatilaka and M. A. Spackman *CrystalExplorer*, 3.1; University of Western Australia: 2012.
- 36. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox Gaussian, E.01; Gaussian, Inc.: 2013.
- 37. A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648.
- F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, 7, 3297.
- S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem., 2011, 32, 1456.
- Conversions calculated with respect to amount of diphenylantimony(III) chloride used in the reaction.