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Relationships between vicinal angles, angles result from vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{Hz}]$, and tetrahedral angles of five membered ring iminocyclitols with ribitol stereochemistry are demonstrate with polyhedron and 3 -sphere methods. Tetrahedral angles $\varphi[\mathrm{deg}]$ and internal angles $\gamma[\mathrm{deg}]$ are calculated from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ chemical shift $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}]$ in case of heteroatom, with energy-graph theory approach. The vicinal coupling constant can be calculated from one atom of carbon chemical shift $\delta_{\mathrm{C}_{n}}[\mathrm{ppm}]$, and also the corresponding dihedral angle under 3-sphere approach.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tan (\varphi / 2)=1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}=2 \sin (\gamma / 2) \\
\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}=\sin ^{-1} \cos \phi \\
\phi=\mathrm{f}(\varphi, \gamma) \\
{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=(\phi)^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{n}=1$ trans-aa, $\mathrm{n}=2$ cis, trans-ee

## INTRODUCTION

The vicinal angle $\phi[\mathrm{deg}]$ is in close relationship with the corresponding dihedral angle $\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}[\mathrm{deg}]$ under 3 -sphere approach, and with tetrahedral angle $\varphi[\operatorname{deg}]$ under polyhedral approach. ${ }^{1,2}$ Dihedral angle and the vicinal angle under 3sphere approach are angles at intersection of two intersecting discs (Fig. 1), the vicinal angle resulting from the vicinal constant coupling ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{Hz}]$. A method in three steps: 1. prediction of the dihedral angle only from vicinal coupling constan, ${ }^{3}$ 2. calculation of the angle of set A with manifold equation from the differences between
two atoms of carbon $\delta_{\mathrm{CnCn}+1}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ and/or proton chemical shift $\delta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}[\mathrm{ppm}]$, 3. six dihedral angles with cis, trans stereochemistry under $+/-60[\mathrm{deg}]$ rule drowned on three concentric cons translated in 2D, gives set A. From set A are builds six sets angles on two units or seven sets angles on one unit. Hypersphere trigonometric equations giving the right sign and stereochemistry. ${ }^{4,5}$

The 2D conic representation of 3 -sphere approach with angle under $+/-60[\mathrm{deg}]$ rule, resulted from manifold equation $[4,5]$ must contain the tetrahedral angle around the dihedral angle set on unit U or S .


Intersecting disks
${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}=\mathrm{f}(\phi)[\mathrm{Hz}]$

cis-ea
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trans-ee ${ }^{3,2}$

trans-ee ${ }^{4,1}$
trans-aa ${ }^{6}$
trans-aa ${ }^{5,2}$

$\varphi$ tetrahedral angle
$\gamma$ internal angle
$\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}=\mathrm{f}(\varphi, \gamma)[\mathrm{deg}]$

Fig. 1 - Relationships between dihedral angles $\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{deg}]$ - vicinal angles $\phi[\mathrm{deg}]$ and tetrahedral angles $\varphi[\mathrm{deg}]$ - internal angles $\gamma[\mathrm{deg}]$ of five membered ring.
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Fig. 2 - Five membered ring iminocyclitols with $\alpha$-D-ribitol (1-3) and $\beta$-L-ribitol $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5})$ stereochemistry.

In this paper our aim is to calculate the vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{Hz}]$ of five membered ring (Fig. 1) only from one atom of carbon chemical shift $\delta_{\mathrm{Cn}}[\mathrm{ppm}]$, the vicinal angles $\phi[\mathrm{deg}]$ with their corresponding dihedral angles $\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{deg}]$ and tetrahedral angles $\varphi[\mathrm{deg}]$.

## DISCUSSION

Euler character of the energy-graph theory ensures the calculation of the tetrahedral angles $\varphi[\mathrm{deg}]$ and internal angles $\gamma[\mathrm{deg}]$ of the five membered ring iminocyclitols (Fig. 2), with $\alpha$-Dribitol ( $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ ) and $\beta$-L-ribitol $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5})$ stereochemistry, ${ }^{6}$ from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR carbon chemical shift, or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR in case of heteroatom. Euler's polygon division applied on iminocyclitols 1-5 transforms the spherical coordinate on pentagonal surface, in fact polyhedral with Euclidean pentagons or triangles surfaces. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

The dihedral angle of polyhedral (dodecahedron eq. 1, icosahedron eq. 2) ${ }^{7}$ ensures a perfect conic distribution of the tetrahedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) and internal angle ( $\gamma$ ) of five membered ring under 180[deg] and tetrahedral rule, after replacing the golden ratio $(\mathrm{A}=1.618033952)$ with inverse of energy [J/molix $10^{6}$ ] [8] calculated from carbon chemical shift in polyhedral equations (eq. 3). ${ }^{1,2}$
Eq. 1: Dodecahedron: $\tan \left(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{D}} / 2\right)=\mathrm{A}=2 \sin (\mathrm{X} / 2)$,
Eq. 2: Icosahedron: $\tan \left(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{I}} / 2\right)=(\mathrm{A})^{2}=2 \sin (\mathrm{X} / 2)$,
Eq. 3: Polyhedral: $\tan (\varphi / 2)=1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}=2 \sin (\gamma / 2)$,
where: dihedral angle of polyhedral, dodecahedron $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{D}}: \quad 116.56506[\mathrm{deg}]$, icosahedron $\quad \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{I}}$ : 138.189685[deg], golden ratio $\mathrm{A}=1.618033952$, $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}-$ energy[J/molx $\left.10^{6}\right], \mathrm{n}=1,2, \varphi$ - tetrahedral angle[deg], $\gamma$ - internal angle[deg].

In Table 1 are presented angles calculated from carbon chemical shift with polyhedron 1-3 and energy equations 4a-c for iminocyclitols 1-5.

$$
\text { Eq. } 4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}: \cos \theta^{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{m}=1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}},
$$

where: $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ - energy[J/molix $\left.10^{-6}\right], \mathrm{n}=1,1 / 2,2, \mathrm{~m}=1$ or $2, \theta^{\mathrm{n}}=\varphi$ or $\gamma, \varphi$-angle between two equivalent
orbitals - tetrahedral angle, [deg], $\gamma$ - internal angle[deg], $180-\gamma=\varphi$.

Three sets of six angles $\theta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=1-6)$ result from $1 / \mathrm{E}, 1 / \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}, 1 \mathrm{E}^{2}[8]$, with first three angles internal $\left(\gamma=\theta^{1-3}=60-89[\mathrm{deg}]\right)$ and last three angles tetrahedral $\left(\varphi=\theta^{4-6}=119-90[\mathrm{deg}]\right)$, giving information about the required tetrahedral ( $\varphi_{\mathrm{Cn}}, \mathrm{n}=$ $1-5)$, dihedral ( $\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}$ ), vicinal angles ( $\phi$ ), and no at list about the vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}$.

The vicinal angle or the cis ${ }^{6,1}$ dihedral angle with positive sign (Tables 1,2 ) result from set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{2}$ from the difference between $\theta^{6}$ and $90[\mathrm{deg}]$, multiplied by 1 (Table 1 , entry $1: \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathbf{- 1}$ ), 2 (entry 3 : $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathbf{1}$, entry 19: $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathbf{5}$ ) or 4 (entry 20: $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathbf{5}$ ), and in case of negative dihedral angle from angles $\theta^{46}$ of set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ having $\theta^{6}$ dodecahedron, or transforming the angle $\theta^{6}$ of set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ in dodecahedron angle ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}-$ 4: $104.892-115.526-118.823[\mathrm{deg}], \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathbf{3}$ : 104.795-115.487-118.89[deg]). In case of $c i s^{5,2}$ dihedral angle with positive sign, the first angle of the set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is equal with $\phi$, if $\theta^{6}$ is dodecahedron, or transformed into dodecahedron. The vicinal angle of the trans-ee stereochemistry results from $\phi_{1} / 2$ of set C, set results under $+/-60[\mathrm{deg}]$ rule from $\theta^{6}$ of set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{2}$, and the vicinal angle of the trans-a $a a^{6,1}$ stereochemistry from the $\theta^{1-2}$ of set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{2}$, or or $\theta=150+\left(\theta^{6}-90\right)$.

Once the vicinal angle $\phi$, or the dihedral angle $\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}$, is established with trigonometric equation will be calculated the corresponding dihedral angle or the vicinal angle. The vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HnHn+1}}[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ can be calculated from the vicinal angle $\left(\phi[\operatorname{deg}]=\theta^{n}\right)$ with eq. 5 , and with trigonometric equation 6 the corresponding dihedral angle. ${ }^{3-5}$
Eq. $5:{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\left(\theta^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{n}$, with cis: $\mathrm{n}=2$, trans: $\mathrm{n}=1$.
Eq. 6: $\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}=\sin ^{-1} \cos (\phi)$, with $\phi=\mathrm{f}\left(\theta^{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{n}=1-6$.
Dihedral angle $\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}$ can be calculated from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$, the representative value remains one with the calculated vicinal coupling constant almost equal with the recorded one.

Table 1
Tetrahedral angle $\varphi[\mathrm{deg}]$, vicinal angle $\Phi[\mathrm{deg}]$, dihedral angle $\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{deg}]$ and vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{Hz}]$ calculated from carbon chemical shift with polyhedron equations 1-3

|  | R | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta_{\mathrm{Cn}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ {[\mathrm{ppm}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ {[\mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{moli}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \varphi^{1 / E} \\ {[\mathrm{deg}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \varphi^{1 E \mathrm{E} / 2} \\ & {[\mathrm{deg}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \varphi^{1 / E 2} \\ & {[\mathrm{deg}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \Phi \\ {[\mathrm{deg}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{3} \mathrm{JHH}_{\mathrm{H}} \\ & {[\mathrm{~Hz}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \theta_{\mathrm{HH}} \\ {[\mathrm{deg}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}{ }^{\text {exp }} \\ {[\mathrm{Hz}]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 55.8 | 1.670 | 106.44 | 101.38 | $\begin{array}{r} 111.01 \\ 95.97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.99 \\ 117.51 \\ 116.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.15 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.4 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.00 \\ -27.51 \\ -26.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.1$ |
| 2. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 83.5 | 2.499 | 113.58 | 101.52 | $\begin{array}{r} 99.21 \\ 108.42 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 66.42 \\ 118.80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.07 \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.44 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.58 \\ -28.80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.4$ |
| 3. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 84.3 | 2.523 | 113.34 | 101.96 | $\begin{array}{r} 99.03 \\ 108.07 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.59^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & 118.89^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.46 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -29.59 \\ -28.89 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 4. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 65.9 | 1.972 | 119.07 | 90.78 | $\begin{aligned} & 104.89 \\ & 119.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 119.07 \\ 116.45^{\mathrm{d}} \\ 0.23 \\ 0.798 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.45 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.39 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 0.21^{\mathrm{f}} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-29.07 \\ & -26.45 \\ & -89.78 \\ & -89.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 5. |  | NH | 2.64 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.421 \\ & 2.372 \end{aligned}$ | 114.93 | 99.04 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 100.23 \\ 110.46 \\ 114.93^{\text {e }} \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 6. | 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 57.4 | 1.718 | 108.81 | 99.44 | $\begin{aligned} & 109.80 \\ & 100.79 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.60 \\ 63.17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 3.14 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.39^{c} \\ 26.82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 3.1$ |
| 7. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 71.5 | 2.140 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 117.87 \\ & 111.42 \end{aligned}$ | 93.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 102.61 \\ & 115.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 39.85^{\mathrm{c}} \\ 61.93 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 3.15 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50.14 \\ & 28.07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.9$ |
| 8. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 71.7 | 2.146 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 117.77 \\ & 111.07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 93.90 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 102.53 \\ & 115.07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 61.62^{\mathrm{g}} \\ 77.46 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.92 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.36 \\ -167.46^{\mathrm{h}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 9. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 66.8 | 1.999 | 119.98 | 90.01 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 104.48 \\ & 115.88 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60.01 \\ & 78.46 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.89 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.85 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.98 \\ -168.46^{\mathrm{h}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.8$ |
| 10. |  | NH | 3.33 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.531 \\ & 1.881 \end{aligned}$ | 115.77 | 93.622 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 106.41 \\ 116.04^{\mathrm{d}} \\ 114.34 \\ 115.77^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 11. | 3 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 63.7 | 1.906 | 116.73 | 92.80 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 105.96 \\ & 116.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.73 \\ 107.90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.81 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.19 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.80 \\ -17.90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.8$ |
| 12. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 72.5 | 2.170 | $\begin{aligned} & 117.43 \\ & 109.75 \end{aligned}$ | 94.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 102.26 \\ & 114.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.16 \\ 107.65 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.86 \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.16 \\ -17.65 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.2$ |
| 13. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 74.0 | 2.214 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 116.83 \\ & 107.35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 95.56 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 101.76 \\ & 113.52 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 108.18 \\ 3.58 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.2 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 0.95 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -18.18 \\ -86.41^{\mathrm{f}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 14. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 69.3 | 2.074 | $\begin{aligned} & 118.82 \\ & 115.28 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 92.05 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 103.43 \\ & 116.87 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107.56 \\ 3.12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.18 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 0.88 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17.56 \\ -86.87^{\mathrm{f}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 15. | 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 68.4 | 2.047 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 119.23 \\ & 116.95 \end{aligned}$ | 91.32 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 103.80 \\ & 117.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.02 \\ 108.49 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.79 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.02 \\ -18.49 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.8$ |
| 16. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 71.1 | 2.152 | $\begin{aligned} & 117.68 \\ & 110.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 94.05 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 102.46 \\ & 114.93 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.95 \\ 107.42 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.79 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.956 \\ -17.42 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.2$ |
| 17. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 72.7 | 2.176 | $\begin{aligned} & 117.36 \\ & 109.43 \end{aligned}$ | 94.64 | $\begin{aligned} & 102.19 \\ & 114.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108.39 \\ 4.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.20 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 1.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18.39 \\ 85.9^{f} \end{array}$ |  |
| 18. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 70.9 | 2.122 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 118.11 \\ & 112.45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 93.30 | $\begin{aligned} & 102.82 \\ & 115.65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108.70 \\ 4.34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.21 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 1.04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18.70 \\ 85.65^{\mathrm{f}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 19. | 5 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 63.3 | 1.894 | 116.28 | 93.185 | $\begin{array}{r} 106.17 \\ 115.302 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32.34^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & 52.57^{\mathrm{i}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} & 2.84 \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} & 3.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 57.65 \\ & 37.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 2.8$ |
| 20. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 72.1 | 2.158 | $\begin{aligned} & 117.60 \\ & 110.41 \end{aligned}$ | 94.20 | $\begin{array}{r} 102.39 \\ 114.797 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.79 \\ 51.29^{\text {c }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 2.94 \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.21^{1} \\ & 38.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.6$ |
| 21. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 73.4 | 2.929 | $\begin{array}{r} 109.95 \\ 100.17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 108.50 | $\begin{array}{r} 96.689 \\ 103.379 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.09 \\ & 76.09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.53 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.91^{\mathrm{i}} \\ 166.09^{\mathrm{h}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 22. |  | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 63.9 | 1.912 | 116.95 | 92.62 | $\begin{array}{r} 105.86 \\ 116.544 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.57 \\ & 75.86 \\ & 78.20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.59 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.7 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.43^{\mathrm{j}} \\ 165.86^{\mathrm{h}} \\ 168.20^{\mathrm{h}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.8{ }^{\text {k }}$ |

a. $\delta[\mathrm{ppm}] 13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}, 1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} 400 \mathrm{MHz}: \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, 4-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{2}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathbf{5}-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} ; \mathrm{b} . \mathrm{E}=\delta_{\mathrm{Cn}} \mathrm{x} \omega_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{xhxN} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}\left[\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{molix}^{2} 0^{6}\right], \delta_{\mathrm{Cn}}-$ carbon chemical shift $[\mathrm{ppm}], \omega_{\mathrm{C}}$ - Larmore frequency $[\mathrm{MHz}], \mathrm{h}=6.626070080 \times 10^{-34}[\mathrm{Jxs}], \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=0.023 \times 10^{23}\left[\mathrm{moli}^{-1}\right] ; \mathrm{c} . \theta$ or $\phi=\mathrm{nx}\left(90-\theta^{6}\right)$, $\mathrm{n}=2$, 4 ; d. eq. 1 with $\theta^{6}=\gamma$; e. $\varphi=\mathrm{f}\left(1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$; f. $\phi_{1} / 2$ of set C result from $\theta^{6}$ [deg] under $+/-60[\mathrm{deg}]$ rule; g. eq. c applied on set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}$; h. trans $-a a^{6,1}-\theta^{1-3}=\phi$ or $\theta=150+\left(\theta^{6}-90\right)$, i. eq. c applied on set $1 / \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{j} .1 / \mathrm{E}$ : $\theta^{6}$ under eq. 3 gives an angle of 109.21 [deg], then is applied eq.c, k . in L-series trans $-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ has positive sign, relative to D -series with negative sign.

Table 2
Tetrahedral approach: 2D-Conic representation of the internal angles $\theta^{1-3}$ and tetrahedral angles $\theta^{4-6}$, in close relationship with vicinal $\phi$ and dihedral angles $\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{deg}]$. 3 -Sphere approach: sets $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and relationships between $\varphi$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{deg}]$

| Entry | $1 / \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ | 1/E | $1 / \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}$ | 1/E ${ }^{2}$ | 1/E | $1 / \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}$ | 1/E ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\theta^{\mathrm{n}}$ [deg] | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-1$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-2$ |  |  |
| 2. | $\theta^{180-D "}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 61.20 |
|  | $\theta^{180-\mathrm{D}}$ | 63.95 |  | 62.488 | 61.72 |  | 64.55 |
|  | $\theta^{1}$ | 73.557 | 78.611 | 68.992 | 66.415 | 78.475 | 75.304 |
|  | $\theta^{2}$ | 75.911 | 77.808 | 74.141 | 73.12 | 77.75 | 78.601 |
|  | $\theta^{3}$ | 79.730 | 76.569 | 82.878 | 84.789 | 76.650 | 80.788 |
|  | $\theta^{4}$ | 100.269 | 101.388 | 97.121 | 95.210 | 101.524 | 99.211 |
|  | $\theta^{5}$ | 104.088 | 102.191 | 105.859 | 106.210 | 102.242 | 101.398 |
|  | $\theta^{6}$ | 106.442 | 103.430 | 111.007 | 113.879 | 103.349 | 104.695 |
|  | $\theta^{\mathrm{D}^{\prime}}$ | $116.05^{\text {a }}$ |  | $117.511^{\text {a }}$ | $118.275^{\text {a }}$ |  | $115.453^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\theta^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $118.803^{\text {a }}$ |
| 3. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \\ { }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{~Hz}] \\ \phi \quad[\mathrm{deg}] \\ \theta_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{deg}] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.15,68.99,21.006 \\ & \text { cis }^{6,1}-\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}=111.007-90=21.007 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.4,117.51,-27.51 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4.07,66.42,23.58 \\ & \phi=113.879-90=66.42=\theta^{1} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.44,118.80,-28.80 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 4. | $\theta^{\text {nN }}$ | Set A | Set B | Set C | Set A | Set B | Set C |
| 5. | $\theta^{\text {IN }}$ | 8.993 | 21.0064 | 17.987 | 20.788 | 9.211 | 18.423 |
|  | $\theta^{2 N}$ | 51.006 | 38.9935 | 42.012 | 39.211 | 50.788 | 41.576 |
|  | $\theta^{3 \mathrm{~N}}$ | 68.993 | 81.0064 | 77.987 | 80.788 | 69.211 | 78.423 |
|  | $\theta^{4 N}$ | 111.006 | 98.9935 | 102.012 | 99.211 | 110.788 | 101.576 |
|  | $\theta^{5 N}$ | 128.993 | 141.006 | 137.987 | 140.788 | 129.211 | 138.423 |
|  | $\theta^{6 \mathrm{~N}}$ | 171.006 | 158.993 | 162.012 | 159.211 | 170.788 | 161.576 |
|  | $\phi_{2}$ | 17.987 | 42.012 | 35.975 | 41.576 | 18.423 | 36.847 |
|  | $\phi_{1} / 2$ | 21.006 | 8.993 | 12.012 | 9.211 | 20.788 | 11.576 |
| 6. | $\begin{aligned} & \varphi=\mathrm{f}(\theta, \phi) \\ & \theta=\mathrm{f}(\phi) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \cos ^{-1} \sin 111.006=21.0064 \\ & \sin ^{-1} \cos 21.0064=68.993,4.15[\mathrm{~Hz}] \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \cos ^{-1} \sin 99.2118=9.2118^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & \sin ^{-1} \cos 69.2118=20.788,4.15[\mathrm{~Hz}] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 7. | $\theta^{\mathrm{n}}$ [deg] | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-2 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{4}-4$ |  |  |
| 8. | $\theta^{180-D^{\prime \prime}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\theta^{180-D^{\prime}}$ | 62.36 |  |  | 70.78 |  |  |
|  | $\theta^{1}$ | 68.574 | 64.537 | 64.778 | 63.044 | 71.80 | 74.136 |
|  | $\theta^{2}$ | 73.976 | 72.368 | 72.464 | 71.766 | 80.91 | 76.132 |
|  | $\theta^{3}$ | 83.182 | 86.245 | 86.055 | 87.442 | 87.382 | 79.352 |
|  | $\theta^{4}$ | 96.817 | 93.754 | 93.944 | 92.557 | 92.618 | 100.647 |
|  | $\theta^{5}$ | 106.023 | $107.631$ | $107.535$ | $108.233$ | $99.09$ | $103.867$ |
|  | $\theta^{6}$ | $111.425$ | 115.462 | 115.221 | $116.955$ | 108.20 | 105.863 |
|  | $\theta^{\mathrm{D}}$ | $117.856^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $109.22$ |  |  |
|  | $\theta^{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\theta^{4}$ |  | 101.380 | 101.814 |  |  |  |
|  | $\theta^{5}$ |  | 103.435 | 103.176 |  |  |  |
|  | $\theta^{6}$ |  | 104.724 | 104.036 |  |  |  |
| 9. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \\ { }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{~Hz}] \\ \phi[\mathrm{deg}] \\ \theta_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{deg}] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} 3.12,39.07,50.925 \\ & \mathrm{cis}^{5,2}-\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}=2 \mathrm{x}(115.46-90)=50.9 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 3.89,60.55,29.44 \\ & c i s^{6,1}-\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}=2 \mathrm{x}(104.72-90)=29.44 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} 5.4,117.51,-27.51 \\ & \text { cis }_{5,2}-\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}=2 \mathrm{x}(109.22-90)=38.43 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} 8.8,77.44,12.56,167.44 \\ & \text { trans }^{6,1}-\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}=108.2-90=18.20,168.2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

a. eq. 1 with $\theta^{6}=\gamma$, b. $\phi=60-\phi_{1} / 2$.

Tetrahedral angles of $\beta$-D-ribofuranoside have been determined with X -ray and neutron diffraction crystal structure in comparation with B3LYP, ${ }^{9}$ resulting comparative values with the tetrahedral angles at $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$, but no at list comparative value with the total sum of the five tetrahedral angles lower as 540[deg], explained as defect $^{7}$ on polyhedral surface. The angles of the crystal natural pyrite are $106.6,102.6,102.6$,
106.6, $121.6[\mathrm{deg}]$, totally $540[\mathrm{deg}] .{ }^{7}$ The conformation of the iminocyclitols $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ change the values of the tetrahedral angles relative to regular pentagon. The differences between the angles calculated at $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ highlighted major change, the values of energy in $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{molix} 10^{6}$ varies between the 1.6-2.5, from dodecahedron (eq. 1) to icosahedron (eq. 2). For example: 1.6 corresponding to tetrahedral angles of $111.01[\mathrm{deg}], \quad 1.97$ to
$104.8[\mathrm{deg}]$ and $2.14-2.5$ to $102.6-99.03[\mathrm{deg}]$ (Table 1).

In Table 2 are presented few representative examples for the calculation of the vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ from sets $1 / \mathrm{E}, 1 / \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}, 1 / \mathrm{E}^{2}$. The values of the vicinal coupling constant calculated for an angle $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathbf{- 1}$ of 106.44 [deg] (set $1 / \mathrm{E}$ ) or $111.006[\mathrm{deg}]$ (set $1 / \mathrm{E}^{2}$ ) and $106.478[\mathrm{deg}]$ (result from 95.971[deg]) apparently are not significative, $4.28[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ relative to $4.15[\mathrm{~Hz}]$, but in case of $111.006[\mathrm{deg}]$ the calculated dihedral angle (eq. 7,8 ) has value near to predicted one.

The dihedral angles $\left(\theta_{\mathrm{HH}}=2 \mathrm{x}\left(\theta^{6}-90\right)\right.$ ) result from set $1 / E^{1 / 2}$ of $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}-\mathbf{1}$ are almost equals with the predicted dihedral angles in case of tetrahedral angles $101.38,101.524,101.968[\mathrm{deg}]$. The main question, are tetrahedral angles at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathbf{1} 99.21$ and 99.03 [deg] or 101.52 and $101.96[\mathrm{deg}]$. For a vicinal coupling constant of $4.1[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ the calculated tetrahedral angles are $111.0[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $99.2[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ (Table 2, entry 6 ).
Eq. 7: $\cos ^{-1} \sin \varphi=\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}$, Eq. 8: $\sin ^{-1} \cos \theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}=\phi$.
The dihedral angle $\theta_{\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{H} 2}$ calculated from tetrahedral angle $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{- 1}$ of $99.211[\mathrm{deg}]$ is smaller with 0.22 [deg], and in eq. 7 instead of $\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}$ result $\phi_{1} / 2$ of set A . The algebraic angle $\phi$ for an angle with cis $^{6,1}$ stereochemistry is equal with $60+\phi_{1} / 2$ (Eq. 9, 10).

$$
\text { Eq. 9: } \cos ^{-1} \sin \varphi=\phi_{1} / 2 \text {, }
$$

Eq. 10: $\sin ^{-1} \cos \phi=\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}, \phi=60+\phi_{1} / 2$.
For an angle of $111.006[\mathrm{deg}] \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathbf{- 1}$ the internal angle $\gamma$ is equal with $\theta^{3}$ of set A , the vicinal angle $\phi$ for cis $^{6,1}$ stereochemistry, relative to an angle of $99.211[\mathrm{deg}] \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathbf{2}$ with the vicinal angle on set B (Table 2, entry 6).

Angles of 102.61 and 115.22 [deg] of $1 / \mathrm{E}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-$ 2 gives two six set angles with ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{H} 3} 4.01[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ and $4.02[\mathrm{~Hz}]$. The third six set angles resulting from 115.22 [deg] with eq. 3 has ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ of $3.9[\mathrm{~Hz}$, with dihedral angle of $\theta_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{H} 3} 28.07$ [deg], almost equal with the recorded, as well as ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{H} 2} 3.14[\mathrm{~Hz}]$, with $\theta_{\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{H} 2} 50.44$ [deg], result from second set.

The angles $1 / \mathrm{E}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathbf{- 2}, 118.97,104.485$ or 115.883, results by multiplied the manifold value with 1,2 , or 4 , containing in their six set angles information about the tetrahedral angle $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathbf{- 2}$. The transformation from 104.485 to corresponding set angles with $\theta^{6} 115.598$, leading to an angle of 107.59 [deg], relative to 107.801 [deg] result from
115.883 [deg], or 109.051 [deg] from 118.97[deg]. Successive transformation from 118.97 [deg] with eq. 3 giving an angle of 106.469 [deg].

The tetrahedral angles $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ of all iminocyclitols $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ are much smaller as $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ in accord with the values of $\mathrm{E} 1.9-2.1 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{molix} 10^{6}$, or must be extracted from sets $1 / E^{2}$ resulting: 107.65 (1), 107.59 (2), 108.20 (3), 107.71 (4), 108.54 (5). As an observation, in case of iminocyclitols $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ the vicinal angles of ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}} 5.2[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ are 107.56 and 108.70 [deg] (Table 1, entry 14, and 18).

As an observation, in case of 3-sphere approach[4, 5], with manifold angle calculated from the differences between two atoms of carbon chemical shift, the tetrahedral angle 107.02 [deg] of $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathbf{2}$ was found on set C with calculated dihedral angle of $53.26[\mathrm{deg}]$ and vicinal coupling constant of $3.03[\mathrm{~Hz}]$. Increasing the number of sets under seven sets unit or six sets units result the following angles: $51.733^{\mathrm{U} 2 \mathrm{~F} 2}, 3.09[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ or $50.209^{\mathrm{US} 1 \mathrm{~B} 2}$, $3.15[\mathrm{~Hz}]$. Tetrahedral approach (Table 2, $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathbf{- 1}$ ) giving an angle of 109.80 [deg] in third set $\left(1 / E^{2}\right)$, with a vicinal angle of $39.60[\mathrm{deg}$ ] $\phi=2 \mathrm{x}(109.801$ - 90)) and a dihedral angle of $50.40[\mathrm{deg}]$. The tetrahedral angle 109.80 [deg] under the $+/-60$ [deg] rule has the corresponding vicinal angle on set C of first unit and the dihedral angle on set B of second unit. Thus, for $\mathrm{cis}^{5,2}$ stereochemistry the half of $\theta^{\mathrm{UlB3}}$ is equal with vicinal angle and half of $\theta^{\mathrm{UlB4}}$ with dihedral angle.

## CONCLUSIONS

Tetrahedral angles $\varphi[\mathrm{deg}]$ are calculated under polyhedral approach from carbon chemical shift $\delta_{\mathrm{cn}}[\mathrm{ppm}]$, demonstrating their relationship with vicinal angles $\phi$ [deg] and corresponding dihedral angles $\theta_{\mathrm{HnHn}+1}[\mathrm{deg}]$. The value of $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{molix} 10^{6}\right]$ gives information about the tetrahedral angle, and no at list about the differences between the protected 1 and deprotected 2-5, or $N$-alkylated and/or C-alkylated 3-5 iminocyclitols free based 14 or salt 5 , enabling the calculation of the vicinal coupling constant ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}[\mathrm{Hz}]$. The dihedral angle with positive or negative sign is in close relationship with the corresponding vicinal angle, ${ }^{10}$ the internal or the tetrahedral angle at one of the atoms of carbon implicate on vicinal coupling.

The tetrahedral angles can be predicted from $1 / E^{2}$ or $1 / E^{1 / 2}$ in function of the values of polyhedron using the set of six angles under the polyhedron rule as presented in Table 3.

Table 3
Tetrahedral angles $\varphi[\mathrm{deg}]$ predicted under polyhedron rule

| Entry | $\varphi_{\mathrm{C} 1}[\mathrm{deg}]$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{C} 2}[\mathrm{deg}]$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{C} 3}[\mathrm{deg}]$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{C} 4}[\mathrm{deg}]$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{NH}}[\mathrm{deg}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 108.45 | 101.39 | 101.33 | 107.65 | 110.46 |
|  | 106.47 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | 108.116 | 102.61 | 102.53 | 107.79 | 106.41 |
| 3. | 107.902 | 102.26 | 101.76 | 108.702 | - |
| 4. | 108.49 | 102.46 | 102.19 | 108.23 | - |
| 5. | 106.17 | 102.39 | 103.37 | 108.06 | - |
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