

Rev. Roum. Chim., **2023**, 68(3–4), 185–191 DOI: 10.33224/rrch.2023.68.3-4.08

Dedicated to Dr. Maria. Zaharescu on the occasion of her 85th anniversary

MANIFOLD INVERSION ON PREDICTION DIHEDRAL ANGLE FROM VICINAL COUPLING CONSTANT WITH 3-SPHERE APPROACH

Carmen-Irena MITAN,^{a*} Emerich BARTHA,^a Petru FILIP,^a Constantin DRAGHICI,^a Miron T. CAPROIU^a and Robert M. MORIARTY^b

^a"Costin. D. Nenitescu" Institute of Organic and Supramolecular Chemistry, Splaiul Independentei 202B, Bucharest, Roumania, 060023
^bUniversity of Illinois at Chicago, Department of Chemistry, Chicago, II, USA, 60607

Received November 10, 2022

Dihedral angles are predicted from vicinal coupling constants ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}[\text{Hz}]$ with 3-sphere approach, sphere or torus trigonometric equations of circle 1, 2 and circle inversion 3–5 for all *cis-, trans-ee, trans-aa* stereochemistry. The existence of circle inversion was demonstrated with conformational analysis on five and six membered rings. The sign and the stereochemistry results from vicinal coupling constant under trigonometric equations confirmed by algebraic equations, Hopf and Lie algebra theory. 3-Sphere, a hypersphere in 4D enable for all stereochemistry



calculation dihedral angles under magnetic wave (NMR data $-{}^{3}J_{HH}[Hz]$), and all isomers from only one vicinal coupling constant.

INTRODUCTION

The dihedral angle of the HCCH fragment with *cis*, *trans-ee* and *trans-aa* stereochemistry calculated from magnetic wave is an angle found at intersection of two congruent disks, centered on the perimeter of each other with equilateral triangles as vertices, six Hope fibration in terms of circles and ordinary sphere, nested tori of Vilarceau circles linking. The tandem vicinal ϕ deg (eq. 1) – dihedral angles $\theta_{\text{HnHn+1}}$ deg (eq. 2) result from vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ [Hz] with Lie algebra – 3-sphere approach theory [1, 2].

Eq. 1: *cis*, *trans-ee*^{3,2}: $\sin^{-1}\cos\phi = \theta_{\text{HnHn+1}}$ Eq. 2: *trans-aa*^{6,1 or 5,2}, *trans-ee*^{4,1}: $\cos^{-1}\sin(-\phi) = \theta_{\text{HnHn+1}}$

$$\varphi = (n \times {}^3J_{\text{HnHn}+1})^2$$

where: $\theta_{HH}[deg] - dihedral angle, \phi[deg] - vicinal angle, {}^{3}J_{HH}[Hz] - vicinal coupling constant, with$ *cis, trans-ee: m*= 2,*trans-aa: m*= 1.

A torus is the stereogenic projection of the inverse image of a circle of 2-sphere latitude, having four characteristics circles: meridian, parallel and two Villarceau circles. Circles usefully for calculation angles from proton and carbon chemical shift or construction the six sets on two units [3].

^{*} Corresponding author: cmitan@yahoo.com

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Two circles (α_0 , β_0) intersecting each other on the point P $\epsilon \phi$ are transversal, and after moving the point P slightly along a geodesic in the normal direction to the circles can be drawn other two circles (α_{ϵ} , β_{ϵ}) through the resulting point P' of ϕ . The pairs (α_{ϵ} , β_0), (α_0 , β_{ϵ}) has 2 distinct intersecting points near P, points arbitrarily close to P with transversal circles through them as presented in Fig. 1 [4], two circles of torus and two circles of Dupin cyclide. Each point of the smooth closed surface homeomorhic to either a sphere or a torus ($\phi \in \mathbb{R}^3$) able to build 4 distinct circles fully contained in the surface is a cyclide. Takeuchi claim that a surface with 7 circles through each point is a sphere [4].



Fig. 1 – A) Torus circles intersecting Dumpin cyclide circles; B) Two angles of sets CT and CD.

The inversion of a ring torus is the image of ring Dupin cyclide, non-spherical algebraical surface of degree four[3].

The circles α_{ϵ} , β_0 reassemble the intersection of two right triangles inscribe on them, two half acute angle of rhombic dodecahedron which can be placed on two different sets under 3-sphere approach. The circle β_0 results from the tangent of circle α_{ϵ} , two intersecting circles on two points (M, M') having four triangles between center (c, c') of circles α_{ϵ} , β_0 and the points M, M' (Fig. 1B). The circles α_0 and β_{ϵ} drawn concentric on the interior of circle α_{ϵ} and nonconcentric on the interior of circle β_0 reassembles the intersection of a torus with a Dupin cyclide (Fig. 1A). The angles θ^{CT} and θ^{CD} are equals with angles $C1\theta^{Ai}$ and $T1\theta^{Ai}$, angles of sets A resulting from *sin* function in the first case and *tan* function in the second case.



Fig. 2 – Six angles with *cis*, *trans* stereochemistry on two sets A, B.

Six angles with cis, trans-ee, trans-aa be stereochemistry can drowned on three concentric cons, solid angle versus conic section; after decomposition in two circles, conic section reassembling the trigonometric eq. 2, the square of the solid angle is replaced by the sphere equation. Considering only the east side of the circles with positive angles. namely sets A, Β. the trigonometric equations 2-5 [5, 6] have angles of set A equals with ϕ and angles of set B equals with θ_{HnHn+1} deg in combinations characteristics for all stereochemistry of the HCCH fragment. Sets A and B result from the sin and cos functions in case of torus circle and from the tan and inverse of tan function in case of the Dupin cyclide circle.

Eq. 3: $\tan^{-1}\sin(-\phi) = \theta_{\operatorname{HnHn}+1}$

Eq. 4: $\sin^{-1}(\cot(-\phi)) = \theta_{\text{HnHn}+1}$

Eq. 5:
$$\sin^{-1}(\tan(-\phi)) = \theta_{\text{HnHn}+1}$$

trans-aa^{6,1} or 5,2:
$$\theta_{\text{HnHn+1}}^{trans} = -180 - \theta_{\text{HnHn+1}}^{cis}$$

trans-ee^{4,1} or 3,2: $\theta_{\text{HnHn+1}}^{trans} = -90 - /+ \theta_{\text{HnHn+1}}^{cis}$

All *cis*, *trans* stereochemistry results from the trigonometric equations 2–5 [5, 6] are found on six sets angles on two units U1 and S1 (sometimes on seven sets on one-unit U1 or S1). Seven sets unit contain three units of three sets angles: 1. A, B, C; 2. D, E, A; 3. F, G, B; U or S or combination of U or S. The number of units increasing until the calculated dihedral angles is almost equal with the predicted one. The unit C1 or T1 are equals with U1 or S1 if one of the first angle of seven sets angles are higher or smaller as 5 deg (Fig. 3). The Java Script program for transformation from unit U to unit S and unit S to unit U was published already [1].



Fig. 3 – Six sets angles one two units, $C1\theta^{Ai}$ and $T1\theta^{Ai}$: unit C1 with one angle calculated from *sin* function, unit T1 with one angle calculated from *tan* function; C1 or T1 = U1 if one angle $\theta^{N1} > 1$ and C1 or T1 = S1 if one angle $\theta^{N1} < 1$.

The circle inversion eqs. 3–5 enable calculation for one vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ Hz all the isomers. Two torsional angles with *trans-aa*^{6,1} stereochemistry between ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ of 6 – 6.3 and 7.1 – 7.9 Hz with negative sign [6] are prove for circle inversion, demonstrated with conformational analysis [6] on D-, L-talonic acid [7] or on D-, L-ribitol [8] series (Fig. 4), relative to one torsional angle with positive sign result from eq. 1, or alternatively directly the dihedral angle from eq. 2. For examples, for a vicinal coupling constant of 2.6 Hz from eq. 1 result a *gauche* angle of 62.96 deg with positive sign, instead of *cis* as recorded experimentally [7], negative *cis* angles resulting only from eq. 3 (–24.447 deg) and 5 (–30.69 deg). The conformation of 2,6-dideoxy-

2,6-imino-D and L-talonic acid **1D**, **1L** can't be established with molecular models using dihedral angles calculated with eq. 1, 2 from recorded *cis/trans* stereochemistry, introduction of the circles inversion eqs. 3–5 giving a good correlation between recorded vicinal coupling constant and the sign of the dihedral angles (Table 1). D-talonic acid **1D** has boat conformation **B**^{0.3} in case of *cis* recorded ³J_{H3H4} = 2.6 [Hz] and skew **S**⁰₁ in case of *gauche* angle $\theta_{H3H4} = 62.96$ [deg] result from eq. 1. L-talonic acid **1L** has skew **S**³₁ conformation on Stoddart's diagram [9]. The sign around the six membered ring reported in literature indicate skew conformation instead of **B**^{0.3} [10].

Table	1
-------	---

	H_nH_{n+1}	$^{3}J_{\rm HH}$	θ_{HnHn+1}	$^{3}J_{\rm HH}$	θ_{HnHN+1}
		[Hz]	[deg]	[Hz]	[deg]
		1D		1L	-
1.	cis-H ₁ H ₂	3.8	-39.10 ^{eq.4}	3.8	32.23 ^{eq.1}
2.	trans-H1H2	7.7	-143.56 ^{eq.4}	7.7	149.29 ^{eq.2}
3.	trans-H ₂ H ₃	7.3	143.29 ^{eq.2}	7.3	-141.28 ^{eq.3}
					-131.786 ^{eq.4}
4.	cis-H ₃ H ₄	2.6	-24.44 ^{eq.3}	2.4	-21.37 ^{eq.3}
			-30.69 ^{eq.5}		-25.169 ^{eq.5}
5.	trans-H ₃ H ₄	2.6	62.96 ^{eq.1}	2.4	66.96 ^{eq.1}
6.	trans-H4H5	6.4	-146.75 ^{eq.3}	6.0	126 ^{eq.2}
7.	1D : $\mathbf{B}^{0.3}$ cis/trans H_1H_2 – trans H_2H_3 – cis H_3H_4 – trans H_4H_5				
	-39/-143.56, 143.29, -30.69, -146.75				
8.	1L: $S_1^3 cis/trans H_1H_2 - trans H_2H_3 - cis H_3H_4 - trans H_4H_5$				
	34.24/149.29, -141.28, -21.37, 126				



Fig. 4 – Six 1 (D, L talonic acid) and five membered ring iminocyclitols 2 (α -D, β -L ribitol).

For vicinal coupling constant of 8.8 Hz the dihedral angles θ_{H3H4} calculated with Karplus equations [9] are *trans-aa*^{5,2} and *trans aa*^{6,1} with positive sign, and from 3-sphere equations *trans-aa*^{6,1} with positive and negative sign in agreement with θ_{H3H4} of D, L ribitol stereochemistry [8] and *trans-aa*^{5,2} with positive sign.

Karplus equations [11]: ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.8 \text{ Hz}$

- Eq. 6[12] ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 9.5\cos^2\theta 0.28$: 132.13 deg
- Eq. 7[13] ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 1.1 + 17\cos^2\theta$: 167.70 deg
- Eq. 8[14] ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.76\cos^{2}\theta 1.1\cos + 1.4:137.7 \text{ deg}$
- 3-Sphere equations [5]: $\phi = (8.8)^2 = 77.44 \text{ deg}$
- Eq. 1: $\sin^{-1}\cos\phi = 167.44 \text{ deg}, \beta L\text{-ribitol}$

Eq. 4: $\sin^{-1}(\cot - \phi) = -167.12 \text{ deg}, \ \alpha \text{ D-ribitol}$ Eq. 3: $\tan^{-1}(\sin - \phi) = 135.69 \text{ deg}$

The 3-sphere dihedral angles with trans-aa trans-ee stereochemistry results from and "torsional angles", the corresponding cis angles +/-180 deg (eq. 2U) for trans-aa or +/-120 degfor *trans-ee*, relationships between dihedral angle on set A and vicinal angle on set B and viceversa. 3-Sphere trans-ee dihedral angles results from trigonometric equations are $ee^{3,1}$ and $ee^{4,1}$ relative to *convention rule* $ee^{3,2}$ and $ee^{4,1}$. Way the *tans-aa* angles are not under +/-120 deg? Certainly, on conformational analysis with molecular models are used dihedral angles and not torsional angles as on Altona model [15]; eq. 1 giving the corresponding *cis* angle which must be subtracted from 180 deg and the eq. 2 the trans-aa dihedral angle. The 3-sphere dihedral angles are cis torsional angles used recently on conformational analysis [16].

In Table 2 are presented angles of six sets on two units C1Ni, with units S1 and U1 calculated for a vicinal coupling constant of 0.1 Hz. The Hopf coordinates (I) applied on $cis/trans-aa^{6,1}$ stereochemistry reveals the relationship between $cis/trans^{6,1}$ and $cis/trans^{5,2}$ of 180 deg. R⁴ complex Hopf fibration for

cis/trans-aa^{6,1} stereochemistry, analog to *cis/trans-aa*^{5,2}, quaternionic multiplication $S^3 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ for both, totally R¹⁶ octonionic Hopf fibration $S^7 \rightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$ with $\theta^{U1A6} = \phi$ and $\theta^{U1B3} = \theta_{HnHn+1}$.

Гι	ιb	le	2	

Six sets angles C1Ni on two units S1Ni and U1Ni calculated for a vicinal coupling constant of 0.1 Hz

Entry	θ^{S1Ni}	θ^{S1Ai}	θ^{S1Bi}	θ^{S1Ci}	Entry	θ^{U1Ni}	θ^{U1Ai}	θ^{U1Bi}	θ^{U1Ci}
1.	$\theta^{S1}\theta^{N1}$	29.95	0.04	0.08	9.	θ^{U1N1}	10.01	19.98	20.02
2.	θ^{S1N2}	30.04	59.95	59.91	10.	θ^{U1N2}	49.98	40.013	39.97
3.	θ^{S1N3}	89.96	60.04	60.08	11.	θ^{U1N3}	70.01	79.98	80.02
4.	θ^{S1N4}	90.04	119.96	119.91	12.	θ^{U1N4}	109.98	100.01	99.97
5.	θ^{S1N5}	149.95	120.04	120.91	13.	θ^{U1N5}	130.01	139.98	140.02
6.	θ^{S1N6}	150.04	179.95	179.92	14.	θ^{U1N6}	169.98	160.01	159.97
7.	\$ 2	59.91	0.08	0.16	15.	\$ 2	20.02	39.97	40.01
8.	$\phi_1/2$	0.04	29.95	29.91	16.	$\phi_1/2$	19.98	10.01	9.97

*180 - 90.04 = 89.96 deg; 90 + 0.04 = 90.04 deg, 90 - 0.04 = 89.96 deg

 $Cis/Trans-aa^{6,I} - \text{Hopf coordinates I (eq. 2U):} X_0 = \cos\theta^{U1A6} \sin^{-}\theta^{U1B3}, X_1 = \sin\theta^{U1A6} \sin^{-}\theta^{U1A6} i.e.: \cos^{-1}\sin^{-}79.986 = 169.986 \text{ deg} \sin^{-1}\sin^{-}169.986 = 10.013 \text{ deg}$

 $180 - \theta^{U1A1} = \theta^{U1A6}; i.e.: 180 - 10.013 = 169.986 \text{ deg}$ $X_2 = \cos\theta^{U1A1} \sin\theta^{U1B3}, X_3 = \sin\theta^{U1A1} \sin\theta^{U1A1}$ *i.e.*: $\cos^{-1} \sin 79.986 = 10.013.$

Between 1.2 – 2.5 Hz dihedral angles are on unit U with *trans-ee*^{4,1} stereochemistry, the Hopf coordinates I (eq. 2aU) ensuring the calculation of the dihedral angles *trans-ee*^{4,1} from θ^{U1A1} and θ^{U1B1} in agreement with the algebraic equations 11c [1], $\theta^{U1A1} = \phi_{1B}/2$ and $\theta^{U1B1} = \phi_{1A}/2$. Hopf coordinates I (eq. 2bU) gives the dihedral angles with *trans-ee*^{3,2} stereochemistry but totally in disagreement with algebraic equation 11d.

Cis/Trans-ee^{4,1} – Hopf coordinates I (eq. 2aU):

$$X_0 = \cos\theta^{U1B4}\sin(\theta^{U1A1}), \quad X_1 = \sin\theta^{U1B4}\sin\theta^{U1B4}$$
 (2aU)

with θ^{U1A1} positive in sign

 $X_2 = \cos\theta^{U1A4}\sin(\theta^{U1B1}), \quad X_3 = \sin\theta^{U1A4}\sin^{U1A4}$ (2aU) with θ^{U1B1} positive in sign;

Cis/Trans-ee^{$$3,2$$} – Hopf coordinates I (eq. 2bU):

$$X_0 = \cos\theta^{U1B3} \sin\theta^{U1A1}, \quad X_1 = \sin\theta^{U1B3} \sin\theta^{U1B3}$$
(2bU)

$$X_2 = \cos\theta^{U1A3}\sin\theta^{U1B1}, \quad X_3 = \sin\theta^{U1A3}\sin\theta^{U1A3}. \quad (2bU)$$

The transformation unit U1 to unit S1 gives angles with *trans-ee^{3,2}* stereochemistry since $\phi^{U1} = \phi_2^{U1} - \phi_1^{U1}/2 < 5$ deg. Hopf coordinates I (2bS) indicate *trans-ee^{3,2}* in unit S if ϕ has positive sign and *trans-ee^{4,1}* if ϕ has negative sign, in comparation with Hopf coordinates I (eq. 2aS) and II (eq. 1S) where both negative or positive ϕ^{U1} are under *trans-ee^{3,2}* stereochemistry. Between 0 – 1.1 Hz and 2.6 – 2.7 Hz dihedral angles *trans-ee^{3,2}* are on unit S1. Unit S1 is builds for $\phi^{U1} = \theta^{S1N1} < 5 \text{ deg}$, *gauche* angles resulting between 0 - 0.8 Hz (60.04 - 63.24 deg) and 2.6 - 2.7 Hz (62.96, 60.84 deg).

$$Trans-ee^{4,1}, -ee^{3,2} - \text{Hopf coordinates I (eq. 2S):}$$

$$Trans-ee^{3,2}: X_0 = \cos\theta^{S1A3}\sin(-\theta^{S1B1}),$$

$$X^1 = \sin\theta^{S1A3}\sin\theta^{S1A3}$$
(2aS)

i.e.:
$$\cos^{-1}\sin(-(-0.040)) = 89.96 \text{ deg}$$

Trans-ee^{3,2}: $X_0 = \cos\theta^{S1A3}\sin^{+}\theta^{S1B1}$,
 $X_1 = \sin\theta^{S1A3}\sin^{+}\theta^{S1A3}$ (2bS)

i.e.:
$$\cos^{-1}\sin + 0.040 = 89.96 \text{ deg}$$

Trans-ee^{4,1}: $X_0 = \cos\theta^{S1A4}\sin(-\theta^{S1B1})$,
 $X_1 = \sin\theta^{S1A4}\sin\theta^{S1A4}$ (2bS)

i.e.: $\cos^{-1}\sin(-0.040) = 90.04 \deg$

Equation 2aS is equivalent with $\theta^{S1A4} = 180 - \theta^{S1A3}$. Negative algebraic angle giving also *trans-ee*^{3,2} stereochemistry.

Trans-ee^{3,2} – Hopf coordinates II (eq. 1S):

IS: Trans-ee^{3,2}:
$$X_0 = \sin\theta^{S1A3}\cos^{+}\theta^{S1B1}$$
, $X_1 = \sin\theta^{S1A3}\sin^{+}\theta^{S1A3}$

i.e.: $\sin^{-1}\cos + 0.040 = 89.96 \deg$

1S: Trans-ee^{3,2}:
$$X_0 = \sin\theta^{S1A4}\cos(-\theta^{S1B1}), X_1 = \sin\theta^{S1A4}\sin\theta^{S1A4}$$

i.e.: $\sin^{-1}\cos^{-0.040} = 89.96 \text{ deg}$

with θ^{S1A1} and θ^{S1B1} negative in sign for *trans-ee*^{4,1} stereochemistry if $\phi_2 < \phi_1/2$ (eq. 2bS) and the *gauche* angle positive.

Excepting the rule of ϕ , positive angle result from eqs. 1, 2 and negative angles from eqs. 3–5. From unit S calculated only from vicinal coupling constant, the transformation S to U don't give information about the sign of ϕ^{U1} . In case of eqs. 3–5 the tan function is compatible with transformation S to U or on seven sets angles sequence U-S-U.



Scheme 1 - Flow-chart for prediction dihedral angles with 3-sphere approach and Java Script.

The differences between 3-sphere trigonometric 180 deg equations under for trans-aa stereochemistry and 90 deg for trans-ee stereochemistry and Karplus angles under 120 deg (eqs. 6-8), in contradiction to IUPAC rule: trans $ee^{4,1}$ and $-ee^{3,2}$ stereochemistry, was overcome considering trans-aa stereochemistry under 180 deg and trans-ee stereochemistry under 120 deg (eqs. 9, 10) [5]. The presented trigonometric equations are in agreement with algebraic equations 11 [1].

$$\theta^{trans-ee3,2} = 120 - \theta_{\text{HnHN}+1} trans-ee3,2 \tag{9}$$

$$\theta^{cis-aa6,l} = 120 - \theta_{\text{HnHN}+1}^{trans-ee4,l} \tag{10}$$

Algebraic equations 11a–c for dihedral angles with *cis*-, *trans*-stereochemistry on unit U and S:

$$cis/trans-aa^{6,1}$$
: $\phi_{aa6,1} = 60 + (\phi_1^A/2)$ (11a)

$$cis/trans-aa^{5,2}$$
: $\phi_{aa5,2} = \phi_{2A} + (\phi^{-1A}/2)$ (11b)

$$cis/trans-ee^{4,1}$$
: $\phi_{ee4,1} = \phi_{1A}/2 = \theta^{B1}$ (11c)

cis/trans-ee^{3,2}:
$$\phi_{ee3,2} = \phi_2^{1A} - (\phi_1^{1A}/2)$$
 (11d)

<idoctype html=""></idoctype>	var Y = usedata28*usedata28;//value of Y	var BTd = usedata3C*usedata3C;//angle of set B in deg
<html></html>	M by a second	var BTr = (BTd)*(0.017453292);//vicinal angle BTr[rad]
<nead></nead>	if (Y < 100)(var Rmta = Math.sin(-BTr);//value Rmta in radians
<ude>A Java script including HTML</ude>	var # = usedata26"usedata26;//value of #	var ATr = Math.acos(Rmta);//dihedral angle ATr[rad] with trans-aa
	var BTer = (BTed)*(0.017453292)://vicinal angle BTer[rad]	var ATad = (ATr)*(57.29577521)://angle of set A the dihedral angle ATad/deg)
	var RmTe = Math.cos(BTer);//value RmTe in radians	var ATd = ATad://the dihedral angle ATd/degl with trans-aa stereochemistry
<body style="background-color:LightGrey;"></body>	var ATer = Math.asin(RmTe);//dihedral angle ATer[rad] with trans-ee	if (BTd < 81){
	stereochemistry	//calculate the angle of set A, the dihedral angle ATd[deg]
	var ATed = (ATer)*(57.29577521);//dihedral angle ATed[deg] with trans-ee3,2	var ATd = ATad;//dihedral angle ATd[deg]
Click button to:	stereochemistry	1
<button onclick="window.print()">Print this page.</button>	var ATeed = 180 - ATed;//dihedral angle ATeed[deg] with trans-ee4,1	else{
chutton tune-"hutton"onslick-"dosument getElementBuld/'demo') innerHTMI -	stereochemistry	//calculate the angle of set A, the dihedral angle ATd[deg]
Date(1">Date(1) Date and Time	document write!"ch4>ci>Dibedral angle trans-ee(dee)	var A1d = -A1ad;//dihedral angle A1d[deg]
	document.write(" The <i>trans-ee</i> vicinal coupling constant	1
<h3>Java Script program for calculation dihedral angle from NMR data with 3-</h3>	³ <i>I</i> _{HH} [Hz]=",usedata2B)	document.write(" <h4><i>Dihedral angle trans-aa[deg],</i></h4> ")
sphere approach and skew circles.	document.write(" <i>Trans-ee</i> -HnHn+1:",usedataB)	document.write(" The <i>trans-aa</i> vicinal coupling constant
	document.write("The angle of set B, the vicinal angle BTed[deg]:"+BTed);	³ <i>J</i> _{HH} [Hz]=",usedata3C)
<h4>Step 1:<i>Program for prediction dihedral angle from vicinal coupling</i></h4>	document.write{"The angle of set A, the dihedral angle <i>trans-</i>	document.write(" <i>Trans-aa</i> HnHn+1:",usedataC)
constant.	ee ^{3,2} ATed[deg]:"+ATed);	document.write("The angle of set B, the vicinal angle BTd[deg]:"+BTd);
chate	document.write("The angle of set A, the dihedral angle <i>trans-</i>	document.write("The angle of set A, the dihedral angle <i>trans-aa</i>
400042	ees/insupni,is/supnisteed[deg]. "Atteed],	Ald[deg]: +Ald];
<script type="text/javascript"></script>		

Fig. 5 – Java script program PREDICTIEACS2022.txt for prediction dihedral angles from vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ Hz.

The flow-chart for prediction dihedral angles with 3-sphere approach (Scheme 1) starts with calculations of the vicinal angle for *cis* and *trans-ee* stereochemistry from vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ Hz. In case of *cis*, *trans-ee* stereochemistry the 3-sphere angles are calculated with eq. 1 and in case of *trans-ee* stereochemistry with eqs. 1–2.

From eqs. 3–5 results *cis* angles or *trans-ee* after multiplied or subtracted ϕ from +/–90 deg. The vicinal angle calculated for *trans-aa* stereochemistry from ³J_{HH} Hz gives with eq. 2 dihedral angles with *trans-aa* stereochemistry and with eqs. 3–5 the corresponding *cis* angles transformed in *trans-aa* under 180 deg rule. Results are display for all stereochemistry; R³² Hopf coordinates covered by five trigonometric equations for all isomers results from only one vicinal coupling constant.

The Java Script program for prediction dihedral angles from vicinal coupling constants ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}[\text{Hz}]$ is presented in Fig. 5. Dihedral angles are calculated for all *cis*, *trans* stereochemistry, and torsional angles transformed in dihedral angles conformed with Scheme 1. Java Script code and Notepad++ are used for realization of the program.

CONCLUSION

Dihedral with corresponding angles stereochemistry and sign can be predicted only from vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{\text{HnHn+1}}[\text{Hz}]$, introduction the chemical shift [1] in step 2 giving differences no more than 2 deg, a decisive step in analysis all aspects of stereochemistry under 3-sphere theories on next steps. In step two with conic section, Vilarceau circles [1] or rectangle equations [17] can be calculate from carbon and/or proton chemical shift the first angle of unit U or S. In step three are builds units U and S until the calculate angle is almost equal with the predicted one. Step 4 is just a verification step for calculation of the vicinal coupling constant from calculated dihedral angle. The positive (eqs. 1, 2) or negative (eq. 3-5) sign in case of five and six membered ring can be established with molecular models. From Java script program for prediction dihedral angle from vicinal coupling constant (vicinal angle) results six angles for only one vicinal coupling constant. Póncare conjunction - Lie algebra fitting well into the wave character of the NMR data, characteristics are torsional angles under 180 deg for cis/trans-aa or 120 deg for cis/trans-ee stereochemistry on two sets angles, particularly two intersecting circles. That be mentioned the Java Script programs with its trigonometric and algebraic equations work properly for vicinal coupling constants ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ between 0 – 6.1 Hz for cis and trans-ee stereochemistry and between 5.5 - 13.4 Hz for *trans-aa* stereochemistry. Higher ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.5$ Hz for *cis* stereochemistry or smaller ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 4.5$ Hz for trans stereochemistry are recorded to date, the algebraic and trigonometric equation for $cis {}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ between 2.8 - 6.1 Hz considered in this paper becomes compatible for *trans* stereochemistry, and *viceversa*.

Acknowledgements. We all are gratefully to Professor Robert Michael Moriarty for great collaboration over time, and for great time spend in his lab at University of Illinois at Chicago.

REFERENCES

- C.-I. Mitan, E. Bartha, C. Draghici, M. T. Caproiu, P. Filip and R. M. Moriarty, *Sci. J. Chem., SciencePG*, **2022**, *10*, 21; DOI: 10.11648/j.sjc.20221001.13.
- E. Bartha, C.-I. Mitan, C. Draghici, M. T. Caproiu, P. Filip and R. Moriarty, *Rev. Roum. Chim.*, **2021**, *66*, 178; DOI: 10.33224/rrch.2021.66.2.08.
- L. Garnier, H. Barki, S. Toufou and L. Puech, *Computers and Mathem. Appl.*, **2014**, 68, 1689; doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2014.10.020.
- F. Nilov and M. Skopenker Algebraic Geometry 15 aug 2012; doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1110.2338.
- C.-I. Mitan, E. Bartha, P. Filip, C. Draghici, M. T. Caproiu and R. M. Moriarty, *ACS National Meeting* in Chicago, IL, August 21-25, **2022**, CARB **3717557**, 22 august **2022**; doi.org/10.1021/scimeetins.2c00876.
- C.-I. Mitan, E. Bartha, P. Filip, C. Draghici, M. T. Caproiu and R. M. Moriarty, *ACS National Meeting* in Chicago, IL, August 21-25, **2022**, CARB **3717658**, 22 august **2022**, doi.org/10.1021/scimeetings.2c00523.
- B. M. Malle, I. Lundt and T. M. Wrodnigg, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 1779; doi.org/10.1039/B719631H.
- R. M. Moriarty, C. I. Mitan, N. Branza-Nichita, K. R. Phares and D. Parrish *Org. Lett.*, **2006**, *8*, 3465; doi.org/10.1021/ol061071r.
- X. Biamés, A. Ardéval, A. Planas, C. Rovira, A. Laio and M. Parrinello, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 10686; DOI: 10.1021/ja068411o.
- F. H. Cano, C. Foces-Foces and S. Garcia-Blanco, *Tetrahedron*, **1977**, *33*, 797; doi.org/10.1016/0040-4020(77)80195-6.
- B. Coxon, Adv. Carb. Chem. Biochem., 2009, 62, 17; doi.org./10.1016/50065-2318(09)00003-1.
- M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys., 1959, 30, 11; doi.org/10.1063/1.1729860.
- 13. M. Barfield and M. Karplus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **1969**, 91, 1; doi.org/10.1021/ja01029a001.
- 14. C. A. G. Haasnoot, F. A. A. M. deLeeuw and C Altona, *Tetrahedron*, **1980**, *36*, 2783; doi.org/10.1016/0040-4020(80)80155-4.
- J. B. Houseknecht, C. Altona, C. M. Hadad and T. L. Lowary, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 4647; doi.org/10.1021/jo025635q.
- C.-I. Mitan, E. Bartha, P. Filip, C. Draghici, M. T. Caproiu and R. M. Moriarty, *Rev. Roum. Chim.*, **2022**, *66*, 941; DOI: 10.33224/rrch.2021.66.12.07.
- 17. E. Bartha, C.-I. Mitan, C. Draghici, M. T. Caproiu, P. Filip and R. M. Moriarty, *Rev. Roum. Chim.*, **2022**, 67, 167; DOI: 10.33224/rrch.2022.67.3.05.

-